

Discrete spheres and arithmetic progressions in product sets

Dmitry Zhelezov

Chalmers University of Technology
University of Gothenburg

Graz, January 4-8 2016

Sums and Products

Let A be a set. The sumset and the product set are defined as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} A + A &= \{a_1 + a_2, a_{1,2} \in A\} \\ A \cdot A &= \{a_1 a_2, a_{1,2} \in A\}. \end{aligned}$$

Conjecture (P. Erdős and E. Szemerédi)

$$\max(|A \cdot A|, |A + A|) \geq c_\epsilon |A|^{2-\epsilon},$$

General idea

A set cannot be multiplicatively and additively structured at the same time

Sums and Products

Let A be a set. The sumset and the product set are defined as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} A + A &= \{a_1 + a_2, a_{1,2} \in A\} \\ A \cdot A &= \{a_1 a_2, a_{1,2} \in A\}. \end{aligned}$$

Conjecture (P. Erdős and E. Szemerédi)

$$\max(|A \cdot A|, |A + A|) \geq c_\epsilon |A|^{2-\epsilon},$$

General idea

A set cannot be multiplicatively and additively structured at the same time

Sums and Products

Let A be a set. The sumset and the product set are defined as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}A + A &= \{a_1 + a_2, a_{1,2} \in A\} \\A \cdot A &= \{a_1 a_2, a_{1,2} \in A\}.\end{aligned}$$

Conjecture (P. Erdős and E. Szemerédi)

$$\max(|A \cdot A|, |A + A|) \geq c_\epsilon |A|^{2-\epsilon},$$

General idea

A set cannot be multiplicatively and additively structured at the same time

Our setting

Multiplicative structure = A is a product set (i.e. = $B \cdot B$)

Additive structure = A contains a very long AP

Question

Let B be a set of integers. Assume that $B \cdot B$ contains an AP of size M . How small can the set B be ? Can B be, say, of size $|M|^{0.99}$?

Main result

Theorem (Z. 2015)

Let B be an integer set. If $B \cdot B$ contains an AP of size M then

$$|B| \geq \pi(M) + c \frac{M^{2/3}}{\log^2 M},$$

where π is the prime counting function and $c > 0$ is a constant.
Moreover, there are examples with

$$|B| \leq \pi(M) + M^{2/3}.$$

Remark. Rumors [PPP] are that there are examples with

$$|B| \leq \pi(M) + c' \frac{M^{2/3}}{\log^2 M}.$$

Main result

Theorem (Z. 2015)

Let B be an integer set. If $B \cdot B$ contains an AP of size M then

$$|B| \geq \pi(M) + c \frac{M^{2/3}}{\log^2 M},$$

where π is the prime counting function and $c > 0$ is a constant.
Moreover, there are examples with

$$|B| \leq \pi(M) + M^{2/3}.$$

Remark. Rumors [PPP] are that there are examples with

$$|B| \leq \pi(M) + c' \frac{M^{2/3}}{\log^2 M}.$$

Toy example

- ▶ Let $\{1, \dots, M\} \subset B.B$ and $N = \pi(M)$.

- ▶ Clearly

$$2 = p_1, p_2, \dots, p_N \in B.B$$

- ▶ Define a 'reduced' p -adic valuation $\rho : [1, M] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_3^N$ as

$$\rho(x)_i = \text{ord}_{p_i}(x) \mod 3.$$

- ▶ In particular,

$$\rho(p_1) = (1, 0, \dots, 0);$$

$$\rho(p_2) = (0, 1, \dots, 0);$$

⋮

$$\rho(p_N) = (0, 0, \dots, 1);$$

Toy example

- ▶ Let $\{1, \dots, M\} \subset B.B$ and $N = \pi(M)$.
- ▶ Clearly

$$2 = p_1, p_2, \dots, p_N \in B.B$$

- ▶ Define a 'reduced' p -adic valuation $\rho : [1, M] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_3^N$ as

$$\rho(x)_i = \text{ord}_{p_i}(x) \mod 3.$$

- ▶ In particular,

$$\rho(p_1) = (1, 0, \dots, 0);$$

$$\rho(p_2) = (0, 1, \dots, 0);$$

⋮

$$\rho(p_N) = (0, 0, \dots, 1);$$

Toy example

- ▶ Let $\{1, \dots, M\} \subset B.B$ and $N = \pi(M)$.
- ▶ Clearly

$$2 = p_1, p_2, \dots, p_N \in B.B$$

- ▶ Define a 'reduced' p -adic valuation $\rho : [1, M] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_3^N$ as

$$\rho(x)_i = \text{ord}_{p_i}(x) \pmod{3}.$$

- ▶ In particular,

$$\rho(p_1) = (1, 0, \dots, 0);$$

$$\rho(p_2) = (0, 1, \dots, 0);$$

⋮

$$\rho(p_N) = (0, 0, \dots, 1);$$

Toy example

- ▶ Let $\{1, \dots, M\} \subset B.B$ and $N = \pi(M)$.
- ▶ Clearly

$$2 = p_1, p_2, \dots, p_N \in B.B$$

- ▶ Define a 'reduced' p -adic valuation $\rho : [1, M] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_3^N$ as

$$\rho(x)_i = \text{ord}_{p_i}(x) \mod 3.$$

- ▶ In particular,

$$\rho(p_1) = (1, 0, \dots, 0);$$

$$\rho(p_2) = (0, 1, \dots, 0);$$

⋮

$$\rho(p_N) = (0, 0, \dots, 1);$$

Toy example

We have $\{1, \dots, M\} \subset B.B$ and thus

$$\rho(\{1, \dots, M\}) \subseteq \rho(B) + \rho(B).$$

In particular,

$$\text{Span}(\rho(\{1, \dots, M\})) \subseteq \text{Span}(\rho(B)).$$

But $\rho(p_1), \dots, \rho(p_N)$ is a basis in \mathbb{F}_3^N and thus

$$\pi(M) = N \leq |\rho(B)| \leq |B|.$$

Toy example

We have $\{1, \dots, M\} \subset B.B$ and thus

$$\rho(\{1, \dots, M\}) \subseteq \rho(B) + \rho(B).$$

In particular,

$$\text{Span}(\rho(\{1, \dots, M\})) \subseteq \text{Span}(\rho(B)).$$

But $\rho(p_1), \dots, \rho(p_N)$ is a basis in \mathbb{F}_3^N and thus

$$\pi(M) = N \leq |\rho(B)| \leq |B|.$$

We can do better by considering elements in $[1, M]$ with a few prime factors.

Discrete spheres

Let S'_k be the set of all $\{0, 1\}$ vectors in \mathbb{F}_3^l with exactly k non-zero coordinates. We will call S'_k a *discrete sphere* of dimension l and weight k .

For example,

$$\{\rho(p_1), \dots, \rho(p_N)\} = S_1^N,$$

and

$$\rho(\{p_i p_j p_k, i \neq j \neq k\}) = S_3^N.$$

We can do better by considering elements in $[1, M]$ with a few prime factors.

Discrete spheres

Let S'_k be the set of all $\{0, 1\}$ vectors in \mathbb{F}_3^l with exactly k non-zero coordinates. We will call S'_k a *discrete sphere* of dimension l and weight k .

For example,

$$\{\rho(p_1), \dots, \rho(p_N)\} = S'_1,$$

and

$$\rho(\{p_i p_j p_k, i \neq j \neq k\}) = S'_3.$$

We can do better by considering elements in $[1, M]$ with a few prime factors.

Discrete spheres

Let S'_k be the set of all $\{0, 1\}$ vectors in \mathbb{F}_3^l with exactly k non-zero coordinates. We will call S'_k a *discrete sphere* of dimension l and weight k .

For example,

$$\{\rho(p_1), \dots, \rho(p_N)\} = S_1^N,$$

and

$$\rho(\{p_i p_j p_k, i \neq j \neq k\}) = S_3^N.$$

Now, define

$$P_1 = \{p\}, \quad M^{1/3} < p \leq M,$$

$$P_2 = \{p_i p_j p_k\}, \quad p_{i,j,k} \leq M^{1/3}, i \neq j \neq k$$

so that

$$P_1, P_2 \subseteq \{1, \dots, M\} \subseteq B.B.$$

Moreover,

$$\rho(P_1 \cup P_2) = S_1^{|P_1|} \oplus S_3^{\pi(M^{1/3})} \subseteq \rho(B) + \rho(B).$$

Now, define

$$P_1 = \{p\}, \quad M^{1/3} < p \leq M,$$

$$P_2 = \{p_i p_j p_k\}, \quad p_{i,j,k} \leq M^{1/3}, i \neq j \neq k$$

so that

$$P_1, P_2 \subseteq \{1, \dots, M\} \subseteq B.B.$$

Moreover,

$$\rho(P_1 \cup P_2) = S_1^{|P_1|} \oplus S_3^{\pi(M^{1/3})} \subseteq \rho(B) + \rho(B).$$

It is ‘conceivable’ to assume that

$$B = B_1 \bigsqcup B_2$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} S_1^{|P_1|} &\subseteq \rho(B_1) + \rho(B_1). \\ S_3^{\pi(M^{1/3})} &\subseteq \rho(B_2) + \rho(B_2) \end{aligned}$$

Then (recall $N = \pi(M)$)

$$|B| = |B_1| + |B_2| \geq |P_1| + |B_2| = \pi(M) - \pi(M^{1/3}) + |B_2|.$$

Our aim is to prove that

$$|B_2| \gg \pi(M^{1/3})^2 \gg \frac{M^{2/3}}{\log^2 M}.$$

It is ‘conceivable’ to assume that

$$B = B_1 \bigsqcup B_2$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} S_1^{|P_1|} &\subseteq \rho(B_1) + \rho(B_1). \\ S_3^{\pi(M^{1/3})} &\subseteq \rho(B_2) + \rho(B_2) \end{aligned}$$

Then (recall $N = \pi(M)$)

$$|B| = |B_1| + |B_2| \geq |P_1| + |B_2| = \pi(M) - \pi(M^{1/3}) + |B_2|.$$

Our aim is to prove that

$$|B_2| \gg \pi(M^{1/3})^2 \gg \frac{M^{2/3}}{\log^2 M}.$$

It is ‘conceivable’ to assume that

$$B = B_1 \bigsqcup B_2$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} S_1^{|P_1|} &\subseteq \rho(B_1) + \rho(B_1). \\ S_3^{\pi(M^{1/3})} &\subseteq \rho(B_2) + \rho(B_2) \end{aligned}$$

Then (recall $N = \pi(M)$)

$$|B| = |B_1| + |B_2| \geq |P_1| + |B_2| = \pi(M) - \pi(M^{1/3}) + |B_2|.$$

Our aim is to prove that

$$|B_2| \gg \pi(M^{1/3})^2 \gg \frac{M^{2/3}}{\log^2 M}.$$

Lemma (Main Lemma)

Assume that $S_3^n \subseteq B + B$. Then

$$|B| \gg n^2.$$

Trivial bound:

$$|B| \gg |S_3^n|^{1/2} \gg n^{3/2}.$$

Obviously, the bound is tight: one can take

$$B = S_1^n \cup S_2^n.$$

Lemma (Main Lemma)

Assume that $S_3^n \subseteq B + B$. Then

$$|B| \gg n^2.$$

Trivial bound:

$$|B| \gg |S_3^n|^{1/2} \gg n^{3/2}.$$

Obviously, the bound is tight: one can take

$$B = S_1^n \cup S_2^n.$$

Lemma (Main Lemma)

Assume that $S_3^n \subseteq B + B$. Then

$$|B| \gg n^2.$$

Trivial bound:

$$|B| \gg |S_3^n|^{1/2} \gg n^{3/2}.$$

Obviously, the bound is tight: one can take

$$B = S_1^n \cup S_2^n.$$

Let B_1, B_2 be two disjoint copies of B .

- ▶ Build a bipartite graph H on (B_1, B_2) with (b_1, b_2) adjacent iff $b_1 + b_2 \in S_3$
- ▶ Start with the identity

$$\sum_{(v_1, v_2) \in B_1 \times B_1} |N(v_1) \cap N(v_2)| = \sum_{w \in B_2} d^2(w). \quad (1)$$

- ▶ If one could prove that (1) is at most n^4 then by C-S

$$|B|n^4 \geq |B_2| \sum_{w \in B_2} d^2(w) \geq \left(\sum_{w \in B_2} d_H(w) \right)^2 = E(H)^2 \gg n^6.$$

Therefore, $|B| \gg n^2$.

Let B_1, B_2 be two disjoint copies of B .

- ▶ Build a bipartite graph H on (B_1, B_2) with (b_1, b_2) adjacent iff $b_1 + b_2 \in S_3$
- ▶ Start with the identity

$$\sum_{(v_1, v_2) \in B_1 \times B_1} |N(v_1) \cap N(v_2)| = \sum_{w \in B_2} d^2(w). \quad (1)$$

- ▶ If one could prove that (1) is at most n^4 then by C-S

$$|B|n^4 \geq |B_2| \sum_{w \in B_2} d^2(w) \geq \left(\sum_{w \in B_2} d_H(w) \right)^2 = E(H)^2 \gg n^6.$$

Therefore, $|B| \gg n^2$.

Let B_1, B_2 be two disjoint copies of B .

- ▶ Build a bipartite graph H on (B_1, B_2) with (b_1, b_2) adjacent iff $b_1 + b_2 \in S_3$
- ▶ Start with the identity

$$\sum_{(v_1, v_2) \in B_1 \times B_1} |N(v_1) \cap N(v_2)| = \sum_{w \in B_2} d^2(w). \quad (1)$$

- ▶ If one could prove that (1) is at most n^4 then by C-S

$$|B|n^4 \geq |B_2| \sum_{w \in B_2} d^2(w) \geq \left(\sum_{w \in B_2} d_H(w) \right)^2 = E(H)^2 \gg n^6.$$

Therefore, $|B| \gg n^2$.

But unfortunately, the sum on the previous slide can be as large as n^5 :'(

Indeed, take

$$B = S_1^n \cup S_2^n.$$

Remedy: carefully excise some edges from the graph H such that for the resulting graph H' holds



$$E(H') \gg n^3$$



$$\sum_{(v_1, v_2) \in B_1 \times B_1} |N_{H'}(v_1) \cap N_{H'}(v_2)| \ll n^4.$$

But unfortunately, the sum on the previous slide can be as large as n^5 :'(

Indeed, take

$$B = S_1^n \cup S_2^n.$$

Remedy: carefully excise some edges from the graph H such that for the resulting graph H' holds



$$E(H') \gg n^3$$



$$\sum_{(v_1, v_2) \in B_1 \times B_1} |N_{H'}(v_1) \cap N_{H'}(v_2)| \ll n^4.$$

But unfortunately, the sum on the previous slide can be as large as n^5 :'(

Indeed, take

$$B = S_1^n \cup S_2^n.$$

Remedy: carefully excise some edges from the graph H such that for the resulting graph H' holds



$$E(H') \gg n^3$$



$$\sum_{(v_1, v_2) \in B_1 \times B_1} |N_{H'}(v_1) \cap N_{H'}(v_2)| \ll n^4.$$

Crucial observation: ‘irreducibility’ of the 2-sphere

Assume that there existed a decomposition of a two-sphere

$$S_2^n = B_1 + B_2$$

with

$$|B_1| \approx n^{0.9} \quad |B_2| \approx n^{1.1}.$$

Then we could have taken

$$\begin{aligned} B'_1 &= B_1 + S_1^n \\ B'_2 &= B_2 \\ B &= B'_1 \cup B'_2. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $|B| \leq n^{1.9}$ but

$$S_3^n \subseteq S_2^n + S_1^n \subseteq (B_1 + B_2) + S_1^n \subseteq B + B.$$

Crucial observation: ‘irreducibility’ of the 2-sphere

Assume that there existed a decomposition of a two-sphere

$$S_2^n = B_1 + B_2$$

with

$$|B_1| \approx n^{0.9} \quad |B_2| \approx n^{1.1}.$$

Then we could have taken

$$\begin{aligned} B'_1 &= B_1 + S_1^n \\ B'_2 &= B_2 \\ B &= B'_1 \cup B'_2. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $|B| \leq n^{1.9}$ but

$$S_3^n \subseteq S_2^n + S_1^n \subseteq (B_1 + B_2) + S_1^n \subseteq B + B.$$

References

-  D. Zhelezov, *Discrete spheres and APs in product sets*, arXiv:1510.05411.